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Cyber crime – a growing challenge for governments

In a digital age, where online communication has become the norm, internet users and governments face increased risks of becoming the targets of cyber attacks. As cyber criminals continue to develop and advance their techniques, they are also shifting their targets — focusing less on theft of financial information and more on business espionage and accessing government information. To fight fast-spreading cyber crime, governments must collaborate globally to develop an effective model that will control the threat.
Introduction

Advancements in modern technology have helped countries develop and expand their communication networks, enabling faster and easier networking and information exchange. Currently, there are nearly 2 billion internet users and over 5 billion mobile phone connections worldwide. Every day, 294 billion emails and 5 billion phone messages are exchanged. Most people around the world now depend on consistent access and accuracy of these communication channels.\(^1\)

The growing popularity and convenience of digital networks, however, come at a cost. As businesses and societies in general increasingly rely on computers and internet-based networking, cyber crime and digital attack incidents have increased around the world.\(^2\) These attacks — generally classified as any crime that involves the use of a computer network — include financial scams, computer hacking, downloading pornographic images from the internet, virus attacks, e-mail stalking and creating websites that promote racial hatred.\(^3\) The first major instance of cyber crime was reported in 2000, when a mass-mailed computer virus affected nearly 45 million computer users worldwide.\(^4\)

Table 1: Types of cyber attacks\(^5\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of attack</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viruses and worms</td>
<td>Viruses and worms are computer programs that affect the storage devices of a computer or network, which then replicate information without the knowledge of the user.(^6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spam emails</td>
<td>Spam emails are unsolicited emails or junk newsgroup postings. Spam emails are sent without the consent of the receiver — potentially creating a wide range of problems if they are not filtered appropriately.(^7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trojan</td>
<td>A Trojan is a program that appears legitimate. However, once run, it moves on to locate password information or makes the system more vulnerable to future entry. Or a Trojan may simply destroy programs or data on the hard disk.(^8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial-of-service (DoS)</td>
<td>DoS occurs when criminals attempt to bring down or cripple individual websites, computers or networks, often by flooding them with messages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malware</td>
<td>Malware is a software that takes control of any individual’s computer to spread a bug to other people’s devices or social networking profiles. Such software can also be used to create a ‘botnet’ — a network of computers controlled remotely by hackers, known as ‘herders,’ — to spread spam or viruses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scareware</td>
<td>Using fear tactics, some cyber criminals compel users to download certain software. While such software is usually presented as antivirus software, after some time these programs start attacking the user’s system. The user then has to pay the criminals to remove such viruses.(^9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phishing</td>
<td>Phishing attacks are designed to steal a person’s login and password. For instance, the phisher can access the victims’ bank accounts or assume control of their social network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal fraud</td>
<td>By targeting official online payment channels, cyber attackers can hamper processes such as tax collection or make fraudulent claims for benefits.(^10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State cyber attacks</td>
<td>Experts believe that some government agencies may also be using cyber attacks as a new means of warfare. One such attack occurred in 2010, when a computer virus called Stuxnet was used to carry out an invisible attack on Iran’s secret nuclear program. The virus was aimed at disabling Iran’s uranium enrichment centrifuges.(^11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carders</td>
<td>Stealing bank or credit card details is another major cyber crime. Duplicate cards are then used to withdraw cash at ATMs or in shops.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Increasing cyber crime**

Over the past few years, the global cyber crime landscape has changed dramatically, with criminals employing more sophisticated technology and greater knowledge of cyber security. Until recently, malware, spam emails, hacking into corporate sites and other attacks of this nature were mostly the work of computer 'geniuses' showcasing their talent. These attacks, which were rarely malicious, have gradually evolved into cyber crime syndicates siphoning off money through illegal cyber channels. By 2010, however, politically motivated cyber crime had penetrated global cyberspace. In fact, weaponry and command and control systems have also transitioned into the cyberspace to deploy and execute espionage and sabotage, as seen in the example of digital espionage attacks on computer networks at Lockheed Martin and NASA.

- In 2010, the global spam rate increased 1.4 percent year-on-year (y-o-y), to 89.1 percent, most of which involved botnets, according to a Symantec report.
- In 2010, the average rate of malware in email traffic was 1 in 284.2 emails, almost the same as that in 2009. However, the average rate of emails blocked as phishing attacks improved from 1 in 325.2 in 2009 to 1 in 444.5 in 2010.
- The average number of blocked malicious websites rose from 2,465 per day in 2009 to 3,188 in 2010.
- In 2010, a major attack came from a complicated computer worm Stuxnet. The worm — which infected a large number of industrial controls worldwide — was able to give false machinery instructions, subsequently leading to nuclear malfunctions and break-down operations at gas pipelines.
- The worm's target location was believed to be Iran, but it also affected Indonesia, India and Pakistan.

Cyber criminals are now moving beyond computers, and attacking mobile handheld devices, such as smartphones and tablet personal computers (PCs). In 2010, the number of malicious software programs specifically targeting mobile devices, rose 46 percent, according to information technology (IT) security group McAfee. Cyber criminals are taking

**Figure 1: Global email spam rate (as detected by MessageLabs Services, Symantec), top 5 targeted geographies and sectors, 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographies</th>
<th>Spam per 100 emails, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>91% 91% 92% 92% 93% 93% 94% 94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>88% 90% 92% 92% 93% 93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Marketing Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Accommodation/Catering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Automotive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: MessageLabs Intelligence: 2010 Annual Security Report, Symantec
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Figure 2: Global email malware rate (as detected by MessageLabs Services, Symantec), top 5 targeted geographies and sectors, 2010
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Source: MessageLabs Intelligence: 2010 Annual Security Report, Symantec

Figure 3: Global phishing rate (as detected by MessageLabs Services, Symantec), top 5 targeted geographies and sectors, 2010
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Source: MessageLabs Intelligence: 2010 Annual Security Report, Symantec
advantage of the increasing popularity of mobile phone applications and games by embedding malware into them.\textsuperscript{18}

In addition, information systems face risks resulting from human error or dishonesty. With incidents such as the hacking of Google and WikiLeaks disclosures, it has become critical to acknowledge the risks to information systems due to human behavior. According to a 2009 e-crime survey by KPMG, the major e-crime risks identified by the respondents were related to insiders or former employees, as shown in Figure 4. These sentiments were echoed by Eric Bonabeau, founder and Chairman of Icosystem Corporation, who said, “Obviously, it is essential to continue to improve the technical aspects of cybersecurity and significant investment needs to be made to ensure continuous progress — and to keep up with increasingly sophisticated enemies. But at the same time, human behavior is almost always the weakest link in security.”\textsuperscript{19}

Figure 4: Which internal e-Crime risks are of most concern in the current economic climate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theft of customer or employee data by insider or ex-employee</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of weak points in business processes/ systems being deliberately exploited by insider or ex-employee</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theft of IP or business sensitive data by insider or ex-employee</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of undocumented business knowledge relevant to security</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employees placing personal information on the internet that can be exploited by attackers</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge of weak points in business processes/ systems being sold</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: E-Crime Survey 2009, KPMG
Implications of rising cyber crime

Every day, nearly 150,000 viruses and other malicious codes circulate through cyberspace, affecting 148,000 computers in corporate and government offices. In the US over the course of one year in 2009, the amount of information lost to cyber crime nearly doubled, from US$265 million in 2008 to US$560 million, according to a report by the Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3), which is supported by the US Federal Bureau of Investigation. Most losses of this nature have resulted from cyber scams, where criminals posed as government agents, collecting information, while others include non-delivery of merchandise or payment. Moreover, the international nature of cyber crime results in the involvement of not only the target region, but also other countries or regions from where the attacks originate. Consequently, cyber crime requires highly responsive and internationally coordinated control measures, making investigation and reporting of such crimes resource-intensive.

Countries face cost escalation
As corporations and government offices are increasingly becoming the target of cyber attacks, the costs to maintain, protect and restore cyber infrastructure have increased rapidly. In the UK, the annual cost resulting from cyber crime is estimated at GBP27 billion (US$43 billion). A major portion of that is the result of intellectual property (IP) theft, which is expected to account for an annual total of GBP9.2 billion (US$14 billion), while espionage activities are expected to cost more than GBP7 billion (US$11 billion). In Germany, phishing activity is estimated to have increased 70 percent year-over-year in 2010, resulting in a loss of EUR17 million (US$22 million), according to a joint report by the German information technology trade group Bitkom and the German Federal Criminal Police Office.

According to the report “The Cost of CyberCrime” released by the UK Cabinet Office, the following are the major areas that can affect a government organization’s cost structure:

- Costs in anticipation of cyber crime: Security measures, such as antiviral software installation, cost of insurance and IT security standards maintenance.
- Costs as a consequence of cyber crime: Monetary losses to organizations, such as gaps in business continuity and losses due to IP theft.
- Costs in response to cyber crime: Paying regulatory fines and compensations to victims of identity theft, and cost associated with investigation of the crime.
- Indirect costs associated with cyber crime: Costs resulting from reputational damage to organizations and loss of confidence in cyber transactions.
In efforts to combat digital crime, government spending on cyber security has increased significantly. In February 2011, the UK government allocated GBP63 million (US$100 million) to build upon the existing expertise within the UK Serious Organised Crime Agency (SOCA) and the Met Police Central e-Crime Unit. Similarly, for 2012, the US Pentagon increased its budget to protect military networks, to US$3.2 billion.

Table 2: Major attacks that inflicted monetary damages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of attack</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Estimated damages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stuxnet worm</td>
<td>2010–11</td>
<td>Stuxnet was launched with the intention of damaging utilities companies and nuclear facilities in Iran and other countries. The program reportedly destroyed a fifth of Iran’s nuclear centrifuges.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Night Dragon</td>
<td>2009–11</td>
<td>Night Dragon is a major cyber espionage program that is currently affecting many Western companies. According to McAfee, hackers targeted five major multinational companies (mostly in the oil and gas sector), stealing sensitive data on proprietary information about oil and gas field operations, project financing and bidding documents.</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation Aurora</td>
<td>2009–11</td>
<td>In 2010, Google reported IP theft and illegal access to the Gmail accounts of human rights activists. The attacks are being investigated under the code name ‘Operation Aurora’.</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeus botnet</td>
<td>2007–11</td>
<td>This botnet steals personal information by infecting computers and capturing data entered into internet banking sites, including passwords. Currently, the program has evolved more, and can produce 700 variants every day, including mobile capabilities.</td>
<td>In July 2010, major UK banks reported being affected by Zeus. Within a month, it stole GBP700,000 (US$1.1 million) from 3,000 online customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conficker malware</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>This worm was designed to download and install malware from sites controlled by the virus writers. Thereafter, these criminals could easily access the PC users’ personal information and even their PCs.</td>
<td>US$9.1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MyDoom’s mass infection</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>This worm was designed to infect computers and send spam emails. As mass volumes of spam mails were sent, internet access around the world slowed down 10 percent. The worm also reduced access to some websites by 50 percent, resulting in losses due to low productivity and reduced online sales.</td>
<td>US$38 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘I Love You’ worm</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>This spam email had a subject line — ‘I love you’ — which led many users to open it, most of whom downloaded the attached ‘love letter’ file. The worm then affected their computers, costing companies and government agencies approximately US$15 billion to repair the damage.</td>
<td>US$15 billion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cyber war and espionage against governments is on the rise

Over the last few years, cyber attacks have evolved in utilizing online weapons affecting government entities. Richard Clarke, a former US White House staffer in charge of counter-terrorism and cyber security, notes that a full-scale cyber attack on a country’s important infrastructure, such as military email systems, air traffic control systems, financial markets and utilities could have an unprecedented long-term effect. Experts believe that the world has already witnessed glimpses of cyber war, with cyber espionage hackers stealing important state information or crippling government offices.38

The US defence system has been targeted on several occasions.

• In March 2011, US officials announced that they were investigating plans by members of the hacking group ‘Anonymous’ to hack into the Marine Corps base in Quantico, VA. The group is a major protestor of the US government’s actions against whistleblower WikiLeaks. The reason the hacking group is allegedly targeting the base is that one of the alleged informers to WikiLeaks is incarcerated there.39

• In 2009, computer hackers broke into the Pentagon’s US$300 billion Joint Strike Fighter project, F-35 Lightning II. The F-35 program is the costliest weapons program ever. As hackers carefully encrypted the stolen data, investigators were unable to determine the amount or nature of the lost data.40

• In 2008, the US military’s classified computer network was hacked by an unidentified intelligence agency, which inserted a malicious code into the system through a flash drive. As a result of the incident, the Pentagon banned the use of USB drives as of November 2008.41

• In June 2007, the Pentagon was forced to disable up to 1,500 computers, as hackers breached an email system at the Office of the Secretary of Defence.42

Canada has also been a victim of a cyber attack.

• In January 2011, hackers infected computers in two Canadian government departments, leaving many officials without internet access for nearly two months.43
Estonia and Georgia witnessed the Web War I.

- In 2007, Estonia became the target of a DoS attack that came to be known as ‘Web War I’ (or WWI), which affected the country’s government, media and banking web servers. In 2008–09, a similar cyber attack took place in Georgia during its war with Russia. This led President Mikheil Saakashvili to move the website to Tulip Systems, a US-based server, which was capable of fighting off the attack more effectively.44, 45

Global summits are prime targets for hackers.

- In March 2011, the computer network at the EU headquarters was targeted by hackers, prior to an EU leaders’ summit on economic reforms and current affairs.46
- In December 2010, computer hackers broke into computers at the French Finance Ministry and stole sensitive information related to the G20 Summit that was held in France in February 2011. The criminals took control of nearly 150 computers at the French Finance Ministry, and accessed many documents that had sensitive information on the G20 summit.47

Impact of cyber crime on justice systems of a country

In addition to affecting a country’s defence system, cyber crime also puts a significant burden on its justice systems. In the US, in 2010, the Internet Crime Complaint Center (IC3) received 303,809 complaints related to cyber crime. This was slightly down from the 336,655 in 2009.

The largest number of complaints was from people who had been deceived by criminals posing as buyers and sellers. In February 2010, a Romanian national, Adrian Ghigina, pleaded guilty to his role in a scam that took in US$2.7 million by deceiving eBay, craigslist and AutoTrader.com users into paying for vehicles that were never delivered.46 In March 2007, five Eastern Europeans were imprisoned in the UK for credit card fraud. They stole an estimated GBP1.7 million (US$2.8 million).49

Espionage activities affect private companies

In addition to government entities, many companies in the energy, defense and pharmaceutical sectors are also becoming the targets of espionage and IP theft. According to the UK Cyber Cabinet Office, industrial cyber crime, including firms spying on each other, costs around GBP7.6 billion (US$12.4 billion).50

- An unspecified FTSE 100 (Financial Times Stock Exchange Index) company, had to shut down its entire email system for two weeks, after it became the target of a cyber attack.51
- IP theft costs US organizations nearly US$200–250 billion annually, according to estimates from the US Commerce Department.52
- According to a 2009 e-Crime Survey by KPMG, results from 45 percent of the respondents, indicated an increase in phishing activities.53
What are governments doing to fight cyber battle?

According to the US Defense Secretary Robert Gates, cyberspace is the new domain in which war will be fought, after land, sea, air and space. The US government has been focusing on protecting its digital infrastructure, declaring it a ‘strategic national asset.’ Similarly, Iran, Israel, North Korea, Russia and many other countries are now creating and training ‘cyber armies’. Such increased vigilance is gaining attention, as both governments and corporate entities have become prime targets of cyber attacks.

Countries cracking down on cyber crime

US is facilitating global cyber security
- In January 2011, US Senators Joseph Lieberman and Susan Collins re-introduced a bill — the Cybersecurity and Internet Freedom Act of 2011 — granting President Barack Obama the authority to shut down the internet in the country in the event of a cyber attack. However, the bill is still under debate, and has been opposed by many organizations that believe it may give the government more power and control over the internet. Privacy experts such as Marc Rotenberg, Executive Director of the Electronic Privacy Information Center, believe that such a bill could obstruct communication and economic activities.
- In January 2011, the US Department of Commerce announced that it is planning to launch an office — the National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace (NSTIC) — to promote online trusted identity technologies. The NSTIC aims to promote a platform where internet users will receive IDs, thereby increasing trust among users.
- The US Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has established a separate division to address cyber crime in a coordinated manner. In October 2010, the FBI arrested more than 90 people, who were believed to be engaged in an international crime syndicate that hacked into US computer networks to steal US$70 million. Hackers used spam email to target the computers of small businesses and individual users. By gaining access to users’ passwords and bank account details, the hackers were able to transfer money from those accounts.

UK is investing to improve its defense tactics against cyber crime
- The UK considers cyber crime to be a tier 1 threat, equating it to international terrorism and major incidents. In 2008, the Police Central e-Crime Unit (PCeU) was set up to fight national cyber crime. The PCeU collaborates with law enforcement agencies and private industries.

In October 2010, the UK government committed to providing GBP650 million (US$1 billion) to cyber security initiatives.
• In October 2010, the UK government committed to providing GBP650 million (US$1 billion) to cyber security initiatives. By February 2011, GBP63 million (US$100 million) had been allocated for cyber security. According to a UK government spokesman, "The government is determined to build an effective law enforcement response to the cyber crime threat, building upon the existing expertise within SOCA (national police unit responsible for pro-active operations against serious and organized crime) and the Met Police Central e-Crime Unit."64

• Apart from increasing investments, the UK also plans to coordinate with Poland on information security policy while planning for the Euro2012 football championships and the London 2012 Olympics.65

China is fighting cyber crime with the international support

• Although China has been regarded as the largest source of targeted hacking attacks, the country is also on the receiving end of attacks. In 2009, nearly 200 Chinese government websites were attacked or infiltrated daily.67

• In 2009, China incorporated computer crimes into its criminal law legislation.68

• The country is collaborating with the UN, Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and other international communities and governments in efforts to fight cyber crime.
  - In 2003, China signed the ASEAN-China Coordination Framework for Network and Information Security Emergency Responses and an agreement among the governments of the SCO Member States on Cooperation in the Field of Ensuring International Information Security with the ASEAN and SCO member states, respectively.69, 70
  - The US has been supporting the Chinese government in its fight against cyber crime. Over 2009–10, the US provided assistance to China in 13 major cases of internet crime.71

• In an effort to protect confidential information, by May 2010, China had tightened its Guarding State Secrets law, by holding internet and mobile phone operators responsible for customers who try to leak confidential information.72

Iran is launching cyber police unit

• In January 2011, Iran officially launched its cyber police unit to ramp up its fight against cyber crime. The designated web watchdog team will be responsible for targeting specific networking websites that engage in espionage and incite riots.73

• By the end of 2011, nearly all police stations in Iran will have their own cyber police unit.74

Indian government is setting up IT institute

• In January 2011, the Indian government announced that it plans to set up an institute dedicated to training professionals and developing technologies to tackle cyber crime. The institute will be a public-private partnership initiative, with a total cost of INR1 billion (US$21 million).75

• In November 2010, India’s Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) signed an agreement with industry body Nasscom to share expertise on ways to counter cyber attacks.76

• In July 2010, the Indian government proposed an initiative to develop a unit that will include a group of hackers acting as a specialized team as counter offence to hacking activities from foreign countries. The National Technical Research Organisation (NTRO), along with the Defence Intelligence Agency (DIA), was delegated to create this capability.77
International organizations zero in on cyber security

Europol enforces EU cyber security initiatives
• In June 2010, Europol (the EU’s law enforcement agency) created the European Union Cyber crime Task Force. The task force includes an expert group of representatives from Europol, Eurojust (the EU judicial cooperation body) and the European Commission.
• Europol provides the EU members with investigative and analytical support on cyber crime, and facilitates cross-border cooperation and information exchange.

NATO alliance provides platform for coordinated initiative
• At the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) summit in November 2010, the EU, NATO and the US, approved plans for a coordinated approach to tackle cyber crime in member states. Under the approval, by 2013, an EU cyber crime center will be established to coordinate cooperation between member states. Also by that time, a European information sharing and alert system will facilitate communication between rapid response teams and law enforcement authorities.
• By 2012, the European Commission is expected to create a network of Computer Emergency Response Teams (CERTs) — that can react in case of computer-related emergencies, such as cyber attacks — with a CERT center in each EU country.
Challenges faced by governments

Although governments are actively focused on fighting and preventing cyber criminals from damaging infrastructure, the very nature of cyberspace poses a number of challenges to the implementation of cyber regulations in any country. Within cyberspace it is often difficult to determine political borders and culprits. Furthermore, the cyber criminal community and their techniques are continuously evolving, making it more challenging for governments and companies to keep up with ever-changing techniques.

Tracking the origin of crime
According to Rob Wainwright, Director of Europol, criminal investigations of cyber crimes are complex, as the criminal activity itself is borderless by nature. Tracing cyber criminals poses a challenge. While many experts speculate that the cyber attacks on Estonia and Georgia, for instance, were directed by the Russian cyber agencies, some of the attacks have been traced to the computers originating in Western countries.83

Growth of the underground cyber crime economy
A major threat that may hamper the fight against cyber crime is the growth of an underground economy, which for many cyber criminals can be a lucrative venture. The underground economy attracts many digital experts and talented individuals with a specialty around cyber initiative.84 In the cyber underworld, the hackers and organized crime rings operate by selling confidential stolen intelligence. Research shows that criminals are trading bank account information for US$10–125, credit card data for up to US$30 per card, and email account data for up to US$12.85 Often, the acquired data is used in illegal online purchases and in exchange for other monetary transactions. The untraceability of the origin of these transactions poses a major challenge to government agencies in their efforts to fight crimes of this nature.86

Shortage of skilled cyber crime fighters
Implementing cyber security measures requires skilled manpower. However, most countries face a shortage of skilled people to counter such cyber attacks. According to Ronald Noble, Head of Interpol, “An effective cyber attack does not require an army; it takes just one individual. However, there is a severe shortage of skills and expertise to fight this type of crime; not only at Interpol, but in law enforcement everywhere.”87

Moreover, most trained or skilled people are recruited by the private sector, as it offers higher financial rewards. In the UK, the PCeU has experienced this shortage first hand, with only 40 core team members.88 Similarly, in Australia, the majority of the cyber crime incidents, particularly minor incidents, remain unsolved or are not investigated due to the lack of eForensic skills and expertise.89

“Criminal investigations of cyber crimes are complex, as the criminal activity itself is borderless by nature, according to Rob Wainwright, Director of Europol.”

“Collaboration between governments is imperative in order to fight cross-border e-Crime.”
One of the major challenges to preventing cyber crime is the prevalence of software piracy, as pirated software is more prone to attacks by viruses, malware and trojans. Experts believe that the rapid growth of consumer PC markets in emerging countries — such as India, Brazil and China — has contributed largely to the rising piracy rates.90 The pirated software can include not only games, movies, office applications and operating systems, but also security software. Often, users prefer to obtain a pirated security software, rather than purchase and upgrade legal version, therefore increasing the vulnerability of their systems to cyber attacks.91

Widespread use of pirated software

China reported that nearly US$19 billion was spent on pirated software, in 2009.

- China, which is one of the largest such markets, reported that nearly US$19 billion was spent on pirated software in 2009.93
- In India, the unlicensed software market value stands at nearly US$2 billion.94
- Ensuring cyber security is also a major challenge for Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) countries, where 50 percent of software is pirated.95
Forecast

Experts believe that to fight the borderless and continuously evolving cyber crime, global leaders must collaborate in joint initiatives. Nigel Inkster, an expert on cyber threats at the International Institute for Strategic Studies, stated, “Thus far, the discussion on how to set international standards on cyber has been very low profile and largely confined to the margins of the UN General Assembly.” However, to overcome significant diplomatic hurdles, a concerted effort on the part of governments must be in place. In April 2010, the UN rejected a treaty on global cyber crime, due to disagreements over the national sovereignty issues and concerns for human rights. Many countries have expressed a concern over the new cyber laws. Russia, as one of the examples, has refused to endorse the ‘Budapest Convention on Cybercrime,’ which allows police and other legal entities to cross national boundaries without the consent of local authorities, in order to access computer servers.

However, country officials in most developed nations do agree on the establishment of policies to protect cyberspace against criminals. Experts believe that developed countries such as the US should encourage other countries to introduce policies against cyber attacks, in the similar fashion they do for nuclear weapons, missile defense and space. “The US has to frame a much clearer strategy with regard to cyber (warfare),” said Greg Austin, Vice President of Program Development and Rapid Response at the EastWest Institute. The US supports an International Telecommunication Union plan, which obligates the country of origin of Cyber crime acts to conduct investigation. The US also supports a Russian initiative that has called for a UN panel to work on cyber-arm limitations. However, experts believe that the implementation of such a coordinated initiative might take a few more years.

Apart from bilateral and multi-lateral initiatives between governments, much can be achieved by cooperating with the private companies that own and control the majority of the cyberspace network. Network owners or internet-service providers can take more responsibility to help identify cyber attacks and attackers on user computers, and take the necessary steps to counter such attacks. Experts believe that while such preventive measures may not completely eliminate cyber espionage, it can certainly make cyberspace a much safer place.
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